Balcony and Roof Railings and the Code: Maintain, Repair, or Replace?

John P. Graz, AIA and Rachel C. Palisin, PE, LEED AP BD+C

While the combination of state and local building, fire, and occupational safety codes has the effect of making roofs, balconies, and terraces more secure for those who use them, the tangled web of requirements can wreak havoc with a building owner or facility manager’s exterior envelope project. Where existing railings — also known as guards — need replacement to meet stringent code requirements, the expense of thousands of linear feet of new railings can be an unexpected blow to a project budget.

Lacking familiarity with current requirements, some owners or managers complete a roof or balcony rehabilitation, only to learn after the fact that they need to tear non-compliant railings out of their new roof or terrace and install new ones. The best strategy is to learn how railing regulations could impact the scope, logistics, and schedule of a building envelope project — and its cost. New railings, depending on complexity and materials, typically cost between $150 and $1,000 per linear foot. A 20-foot-by-20-foot roof terrace, or five small residential balconies, could easily cost $40,000 to replace or install railings.

Code Overview

The Victorian era saw not only the introduction of iron railings, but also the first standardized, widely-applied building codes. Requirements were enacted for building standards, such as fire resistance, drainage, and fall protection. The use of railings at roof edges, balcony enclosures, and elevated terraces became not just good practice, but a legal requirement.

As codes change, owners may find that existing railings must be updated or replaced. As is the case with many elements of the building enclosure, roof and balcony railings have been subject to changing regulations with successive iterations of the codes. Unless a building owner plans for a change in occupancy, such as converting a roof area to a pedestrian terrace, or modifications, such as a roof replacement or balcony upgrades, existing railings may be permitted to remain, provided they are not designated “hazardous” and meet the building codes that were in place at the time of construction.

However, such “grandfathered” railing exemptions are typically granted on a case-by-case basis, and it is best not to assume that railings may remain unmodified. Researching the code requirements and the interpretation of those requirements in the local jurisdiction should occur early in project planning, the better to anticipate any railing upgrades or replacements that may be necessary.
**Do My Roofs, Balconies, or Terraces Need New or Updated Railings?**

Does building have any exterior spaces elevated more than 30 inches above adjacent surfaces, such as balconies or terraces?

- **YES**
  - Are these spaces regularly accessed by building occupants?
    - **YES**
      - Is building landmarked or subject to architectural/historic review board?
        - **YES**
          - Maintenance access only.*
        - **NO**
          - Is this a change in occupancy or new construction?
            - **YES**
              - NEED RAILINGS per 2015 International Building Code (IBC) Section 1015** but must be compatible with existing building fabric and/or follow local design guidelines.
            - **NO**
              - EXISTING RAILINGS USUALLY CAN REMAIN but loose, damaged, deficient, or missing railings must be remedied. Evaluate on case-by-case basis with design professional.***
    - **NO**
      - Are there any flat or low-slope roofs (4:12 slope or less)?
        - **YES**
          - Will modifications be made to the existing roof, balcony, or terrace?
            - **YES**
              - NEED RAILINGS per 2015 IBC Section 1015,**
            - **NO**
              - RAILINGS NOT LIKELY REQUIRED. Fall protection systems might be needed for certain circumstances, such as during construction (OSHA 1926.502).
        - **NO**
          - Is there mechanical equipment or hatch within 15 feet from edge with ≥ 30-inch drop, or skylights?
            - **YES**
              - MAINTENANCE RAILINGS may be required per Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 1910.28,1910.29 and 2015 IBC 1015.7.
            - **NO**
              - Maintenance access only.*

- **NO**
  - Are there any flat or low-slope roofs (4:12 slope or less)?
    - **YES**
      - Will modifications be made to the existing roof, balcony, or terrace?
        - **YES**
          - NEED RAILINGS per 2015 IBC Section 1015,**
        - **NO**
          - RAILINGS NOT LIKELY REQUIRED. Fall protection systems might be needed for certain circumstances, such as during construction (OSHA 1926.502).
    - **NO**
      - Is there mechanical equipment or hatch within 15 feet from edge with ≥ 30-inch drop, or skylights?
        - **YES**
          - MAINTENANCE RAILINGS may be required per Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 1910.28,1910.29 and 2015 IBC 1015.7.
        - **NO**
          - Maintenance access only.*

* In New York City, roofs, balconies, or terraces with slope of 2:4:12 or less and height of 22 feet or greater require railings per 2014 NYC Building Code, Section 1509.8. Often, older buildings may comply with the code under which they were constructed.

** In NYC, railings must comply with 2014 NYC Building Code, Section 1013 and 1607. Often, older buildings may comply with earlier codes.

*** The International Existing Building Code (IEBC) may also be applicable, depending upon building location.
International Building Code

The predominant model code that dictates railing assembly height, configuration, and anchorage is the International Code Council International Building Code (IBC), which is in use or adopted in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The latest version was published in 2015, but many states have yet to adopt the newer code, with some still using versions from as early as 2003. This variation in adoption can mean that regulations for railings can differ between states, with some holding buildings to more rigorous standards than others.

The diagram at the top of this page illustrates the dimensional and structural requirements of the 2015 IBC, which demands higher performance than previous iterations. The 2015 IBC mandates that glass used in railing systems generally be laminated tempered glass, whereas the 2012 IBC accepted single tempered glass. Beyond the most recent revision, additional changes pile up when looking back just a few code cycles. For example, the 2015 IBC limits openings near the top of the railings to a maximum diameter of 4-3/8"; as recently as the 2006 edition, the IBC allowed top rail openings up to 8" diameter. As codes become more demanding, it is easy to see how a building constructed several years – or several decades – earlier could have railings which fall well short of meeting current regulations.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

With the passage of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) of 1970, fall protection not only for building occupants, but also for workers became protected by code. Even spaces not accessed by the public require fall protection.

OSHA provides requirements for fall protection both at construction sites (Occupational Safety and Health Standards Part 1926 M) and for buildings in use by workers (Part 1910 D). For a graphical depiction of the latter, see the diagram above. Note that updated regulations went into effect January 17, 2017; these include options for fall protection.

Some areas, such as rooftop equipment spaces and maintenance terraces, may be subject to both IBC and OSHA regulations. Often the stipulations overlap, but where one is more stringent than the other, it should be followed as a matter of course.

International Existing Building Code

Adopted by 39 states and the District of Columbia, the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) is intended to achieve safety standards at existing buildings, with sensitivity to the challenges of achieving full compliance with new construction requirements at older buildings. The IEBC stipulates that building elements cannot be altered such that they become less safe than their original condition. Repairs may be performed without changing the entire system. However, where there are no guard railings or existing railings need replacement, the IEBC requires that these new elements be constructed in accordance with the current IBC.

Some municipalities, such as New York City, do not recognize the IEBC and may subject code requirements for new construction to existing buildings.

Local Building Code

Even if the railing design passes muster with IBC and OSHA, there are still...
municipal codes to consider. Most of these adopt a version of the IBC, but some jurisdictions, notably New York City, have their own code or jurisdiction-specific modifications.

The 2014 New York City Building Code (NYC BC) requires that all buildings greater than 22 feet tall with low-slope roofs and terraces have a 42-inch-tall parapet, railing, or fence. On the surface, this seems to line up with IBC and OSHA regulations, but there is one crucial difference: the NYC BC does not limit this requirement to accessible roof areas.

This means that even roofs and terraces with no entry point from the building interior must still have a safety railing. In an emergency, first responders need to climb onto the roof via ladder or lift bucket risk falling from an unprotected roof edge, especially with smoke reducing visibility. To safeguard first responders, New York enacted this regulation.

These modifications may be enacted at the State or County level as well. For example, North Carolina adopted a provision that requires a curb or toe rail at the base of all railings to prevent small objects (2” diameter) from falling to adjacent surfaces.

Unless the design team is familiar with code requirements at all applicable jurisdictional levels, such local regulations could go unnoticed, leading to violations and potential safety risks.

Historical Railings

Depending on the jurisdiction, historic and landmark structures may be subject to additional regulations regarding the railing design, beyond those imposed by general building codes. Landmarks review boards and historic preservation offices typically refer to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties from the National Park Service (NPS). Tax credits for qualifying rehabilitation projects are reviewed by the State Historic Preservation Office and/or NPS staff for compliance with the Secretary’s Standards. “Railings are important character-defining features of a historic building,” notes NPS in an Interpreting the Standards bulletin. “Any modifications must be completed as sensitively as possible.”

Often, historical railings are too low to meet applicable modern building codes. In New York City, the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) requires that extensions to increase railing height must be “in keeping with the age and style of the building” and that replacement railings should “match the design, dimensions, and details” of the original.

However, the Standards suggest instead that railing additions “will be differentiated from the old,” and yet be “compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property.” In other words, code-compliant railing extensions should complement existing railings but remain visually distinct. This approach differs markedly from that in New York City, where the LPC rules require new railing components to blend in with the existing railing system, as though they had always been there.

These differences in ideology underscore the importance of determining local requirements before proceeding with a railing modification or replacement strategy, particularly at a historic or landmark structure.

Common Problems

Even if a railing system meets code requirements, it may still fall prey to the ravages of time, weather, poor design, and/or faulty construction. Problems most often develop where different materials intersect, or where gaps or crevices concentrate water and corrosive solutes, accelerating deterioration. For example, galvanic corrosion resulting from the contact of dissimilar metals can commonly result in faster failure.

Material Properties

A basic understanding of typical railing material properties helps not only in evaluating conditions at existing systems, but also in designing new or replacement railings.

Carbon steel, a metal alloy principally composed of iron, quickly corrodes (or “rusts”) in the simultaneous presence of air and moisture. To prevent this reaction, protective coatings may be applied, but corrosion can occur at areas with failed or missing coatings, or from the (uncoated) interior of a hollow pipe or rail section. As corrosion progresses through a steel element, the metal delaminates and expands to many times its original volume, creating substantial outward forces that can damage adjacent materials. Significantly corroded posts or rails may have greatly compromised abilities to resist structural loads.

![Different jurisdictions favor different approaches to treatment of historical railings.](image)

![Thicker insulation due to new energy code raises roof surface and demands taller railings.](image)
railing posts are commonly set into metal sleeves embedded into the concrete slab. To avoid premature deterioration, embedded post sleeves must be coordinated with the balcony reinforcement design, such that sleeve positioning and concrete coverage over reinforcement are carefully arranged prior to pouring concrete. If not coordinated at the design or shop drawing phase, core-drilled holes to set railing posts can sever concrete reinforcement at the critical slab edge, compromising structural integrity of the balcony. Poorly positioned post sleeves, particularly those without protective coatings, can undergo galvanic corrosion from contact with concrete reinforcement, and resultant expansion forces can crack or spall the concrete. Gaps at the post-sleeve-slab interface, if not properly finished, can allow water to penetrate the concrete, bringing deleterious chlorides, crevice corrosion, and harbored water subject to freezing and thawing stresses. Around post sleeves, gypsum-containing setting grout may absorb water and swell, causing the concrete to crack.

**Stainless steel** provides increased corrosion resistance compared to carbon steel due to the addition of chromium to the alloy, but problems can still develop. At gaps, dents, or scratches, or where the steel is in contact with other materials, chloride-containing pollutants may lead to pitting and crevice corrosion. At some welds, intergranular corrosion may reduce the chromium available to protect the steel, resulting in rust staining. Although unsightly, minor corrosion of stainless steel at welds and crevices is unlikely to result in structural deterioration.

**Aluminum** is a low-density, lightweight metal that develops a protective layer of aluminum oxide at its surface that shields the rest of the section from corrosive elements. When in contact with alkaline concrete, the protective film can break down, leading to corrosion. Chlorides, a common component of deicing salts, can cause pitting.

**Glass** railing systems are composed of safety glass sheets, often supported by aluminum or stainless steel channels or “shoes” with flexible gaskets. Some glass is supported by through-glass fasteners connected to metal railing posts. Since the thermal expansion of stainless steel is about twice that of glass, and that of aluminum is even greater, composite railing designs must provide for differential movement.

**Post-Slab Interface**

At reinforced concrete balconies, railing posts are commonly set into metal sleeves embedded into the concrete slab. To avoid premature deterioration, embedded post sleeves must be coordinated with the balcony reinforcement design, such that sleeve positioning and concrete coverage over reinforcement are carefully arranged prior to pouring concrete.

If not coordinated at the design or shop drawing phase, core-drilled holes to set railing posts can sever concrete reinforcement at the critical slab edge, compromising structural integrity of the balcony. Poorly positioned post sleeves, particularly those without protective coatings, can undergo galvanic corrosion from contact with concrete reinforcement, and resultant expansion forces can crack or spall the concrete. Gaps at the post-sleeve-slab interface, if not properly finished, can allow water to penetrate the concrete, bringing deleterious chlorides, crevice corrosion, and harbored water subject to freezing and thawing stresses. Around post sleeves, gypsum-containing setting grout may absorb water and swell, causing the concrete to crack.

**Returns at Exterior Walls**

Where railings terminate at masonry walls, railing ends usually include embedded brackets for bracing. If termination brackets or fasteners contain ferrous metals, corrosion and expansion may lead to rust stains and masonry cracks. As deterioration advances, reduced structural integrity of the railing system and spalled masonry units may present safety hazards.

**Dimensional Deficiencies**

As building codes evolve, regulatory requirements for railing dimensions have changed, stipulating railings that are taller and with opening limitations. For example, a NYC building constructed in 1910 may have had railings that were only 36 inches high, whereas
a 1982 building should have 42-inch high railings. However, even “modern” railings may be installed or fabricated incorrectly (e.g. too short, too weak), necessitating remediation before the end of their service life.

Energy codes have indirectly impacted railing height by requiring increased insulation thickness for replacement roofing systems, resulting in an elevated roof surface relative to the top rail. With added insulation, railings that once were code-compliant may now be too low.

Unrelieved Expansion / Contraction
Railings that do not include the facility to expand and contract under thermal stress can self-destruct under restraint. For each specified material, rates of expansion should be considered and properly sized expansion joints provided to accommodate movement at strategic locations, while maintaining structural continuity along the entire railing system.

Missing or Unstable Railings
Depending on the jurisdiction, exterior elevated surfaces may require perimeter protection by law. Railings that are discontinuous or absent can risk a violation or, worse, a serious accident.
If rails, posts, or panels move by gentle pushing and pulling by hand, field structural testing may be recommended to quantify the location and extent of structural inadequacies.

Railing Maintenance
Railings should be checked regularly for deterioration, gaps at penetrations, and overall structural stability. Building owners should limit use of deicing compounds with corrosive chlorides near railing posts.
Often prominent architectural features, railings require periodic cleaning. Based on the substrate condition and type of soiling, cleaning may involve water, chemicals, and/or abrasive techniques. To determine the most efficient and cost-effective approach, mockups should be conducted. Considerations include damage to existing materials, runoff containment, worker safety, and environmental impact.

To mitigate water penetration at railing post ends or returns, application of suitable sealant at openings may be appropriate, provided the concrete or masonry is undamaged. Manufacturers offer various sealants with proprietary chemical configurations, so products vary in performance. Prior to any sealant installation program, careful product selection and field adhesion testing of sealant mockups are recommended.

New or replacement coatings, whether to improve aesthetics or mitigate corrosion, demand consideration of both the substrate and the desired finished appearance, as well as constraints of budget. Mockups should be used to verify appearance and performance. Careful cleaning and substrate preparation is critical to proper bonding of primers and subsequent performance of the finished coating system. Various formulations are available, from brush-applied acrylics to solvent-based alkyds to field- or shop-applied fluoropolymers. Access, protection of adjacent surfaces, odors, and volatile organic compounds can impact a coating plan.

Repairs or Replacement
Simple repairs, such as fastener replacement or sectional rail replacement, may address limited deterioration or deficiencies. Materials should be corrosion-resistant or protected from corrosion, close on the galvanic scale to the material to which they are attached, and of a similar strength to surrounding materials.

Railing repairs can be performed in the field, or railings can be dismantled and taken to a shop. Usually, the latter results in a finished product that will perform better over time, but mobilization, cost, and schedule are key considerations. Restricted usage is important for safety reasons until work is complete.
If a railing is too low, too “open,” structurally inadequate, or has caused damage to the substrate into which it is anchored, replacement of the railing may be the best option. After substrates are repaired, a new robust and dimensionally-compliant railing can be installed and anchored. Due to the ever-evolving landscape of regulations and stylistic preferences, anchorage details can be specified that allow for simplified removal/replacement of railings, with minimal disturbance to structural or waterproofing elements at the mounting points.
If railing damage is limited to concrete deterioration and corrosion at the post sleeve or inadequate anchorage strength, replacement of the entire system may not be necessary. Instead, new post anchorage can be designed for the existing assembly. After the concrete is repaired, a new post
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Balcony and Roof Railings

With regulations constantly evolving, it can be difficult to anticipate railing requirements. Hoffmann Architects monitors codes, standards, industry trends, and historic landmark stipulations to balance aesthetic and practical considerations with best practices for safety and code compliance.

Our architects and engineers have developed railing solutions for diverse buildings, including:

**Ford Foundation Building**
New York, New York
NYC Facade Inspection Safety Program (FISP)/Local Law 11 Repairs, including Roof Railings

**Fifty Franklin Condominiums**
New York, New York
Balcony Investigation

**Chanel Headquarters**
New York, New York
NYC FISP/Local Law 11 Investigation

**Lexington Armory**
New York, New York
Facade Rehabilitation, including Historic Roof and Walkway Railings

**The George Washington University, International House and City Hall Dormitory**
Washington, District of Columbia
Balcony Rehabilitations

**Hudson River Park, Pier 26**
New York, New York
Roof Terrace Glass Railing Investigation

**New Jersey City University, Gilligan Student Union**
Jersey City, New Jersey
Exterior Improvements, including Roof Garden Terrace with Perimeter Railings

**Stewart Heights Residential Complex**
Mount Kisco, New York
Balcony/Railing Investigation

**New York Stock Exchange**
New York, New York
NYC FISP/Local Law 11 Investigation

**Constitution Plaza**
Hartford, Connecticut
Terrace Rehabilitation, including Railing Replacement

**Pfizer Headquarters**
New York, New York
NYC FISP/Local Law 11 Investigation and Roof Setback Railing Repairs

**Morgan Stanley Building**
New York, New York
Roof Replacement, including Maintenance and Perimeter Railings

**Chase Collegiate School, St. Margaret’s Hall**
Waterbury, Connecticut
Balcony/Portico Investigation and Repair

**Riello Saint Moritz Apartments**
Edgewater, New Jersey
Facade Investigation and Repairs, including Balcony Railing Modifications

**Travelers Companies, Tower Square**
Hartford, Connecticut
Terrace Rehabilitation, including Railing Replacement

**Columbia University, 57 Buildings**
New York, New York
NYC FISP/Local Law 11 Supplemental Filing for Balcony and Roof Railings

**University Towers, 100 York Street**
New Haven, Connecticut
Balcony Rehabilitation

**Federal Reserve Bank of New York**
New York, New York
NYC FISP/Local Law 11 Investigation and Repairs, including Roof Railings

A Conde Nast Building, 4 Times Square (left), One Wall Street (center), and Bank of New York Mellon Corporation, 101 Barclay Street (right) in New York, New York. NYC Facade Inspection Safety Program (FISP)/Local Law 11 Investigations and Supplemental Filings for Balcony and Roof Railings.
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bracket can be anchored to the slab with stainless steel fasteners, a configuration that greatly reduces potential for water penetration.

Where increased roof insulation thickness required by energy regulations renders existing perimeter protection inadequate, an extension to the original railing may be feasible, but may not be cost-effective when compared to replacing the railing, especially if the railing is a simple, economical design.

For railings deemed historic or architecturally significant, minimal and reversible interventions are often preferred. One possible solution is to introduce a visually unobtrusive second railing in-board of the original, relieving the historically significant railing of duty as a safety component but leaving the aesthetics generally intact. Always work closely with local architectural review boards and/or state historic preservation offices to determine feasible options.

**Keeping Ahead of Railing Requirements**

Sometimes, building owners discover that an unprotected area should have railings, or that a roof replacement or other alteration has rendered an existing system inadequate. Should older railings be found deficient, a design professional should review codes in effect at the time of construction, as well as capacity and condition of existing materials, to determine whether original railings may be retained.

Generally, it is recommended to evaluate design and performance requirements for existing and new railings vis-à-vis current codes. ■

![Railing corrosion causing cracks and spalls at post-slab interfaces (left) and exterior walls (right).](image)
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